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Schematic Diagram of a Bulk Terminal

- **YARD SPACE**
  - cargo blocks
  - w= 2 SILICA SAND
  - w= 4 CLAY
  - w= 3 GRAIN
  - w= 1 ANIMAL FEED
  - w= 6 SODA ASH
  - w= 8 CEMENT
  - w= 5 ROCK AGGREGATES
  - w= 7 FELDSPAR

- **QUAY SPACE**
  - Vessel 1
  - Vessel 2
  - Vessel 3

- **Sections along the quay**
  - k = 1
  - k = 2
  - k = 3
  - k = 4
  - k = 5
  - k = 6
  - k = 7
  - k = 8

- **Vessel berthed at section k=5 carrying cement**
Large scale optimization in bulk ports

- Study the crucial problems of
  - **Berth Allocation** – scheduling and assignment of vessels to sections along the quay
  - **Yard Assignment** – assignment of vessels and cargo types to specific locations on the yard

- **Large Scale Integrated Planning:** Integration of the berth allocation and yard assignment for better coordination between berthing and yard activities

- Develop **real time** and **robust optimization algorithms** to account for uncertainties in arrival times and handling times of vessels, and other unforeseen disruptions and delays in operations.

- The focus of this talk is solving the berth allocation problem in real time for a given baseline schedule.
Real Time Recovery in Berth Allocation Problem
Motivation

- High level of uncertainty in bulk port operations due to weather conditions, mechanical problems etc.
  
  - Actual arrival times of vessels can deviate from expected values making the baseline schedule infeasible
  
  - Disrupt the normal functioning of the port and require quick real time action.

- Very few studies address the problem of real time recovery in port operations, while the problem has not been studied at all in context of bulk ports.

- Our research problem derives from the realistic requirements at the SAQR port, Ras Al Khaimah, UAE
Research Objectives

• Develop real time algorithms for disruption recovery in berth allocation problem (BAP)

• For a given baseline berthing schedule, minimize the total realized costs of the updated schedule as actual arrival data is revealed. The total realized costs include

  • The total service cost of all vessels berthing at the port which is the sum total of the handling times and berthing delays of all vessels berthing in the planning horizon.

  • Inconsistent cost of rescheduling over space and time to account for the cost of re-allocating human labor, handling equipment and availability of cargo.
Literature Review

- Very scarce literature on the use of operations research methods in context of bulk ports.

- Comprehensive literature surveys on BAP in container terminals can be found in Steenken et al. (2004), Stahlobock and Voss (2007), Bierwirth and Meisel (2010).

- OR literature related to BAP under uncertainty in container terminals
  
  - **Pro-active Robustness**
    
    - Stochastic programming approach used by Zhen et al. (2011), Han et al. (2010)
    
    - Define surrogate problems to define the stochastic nature of the problem: Moorthy and Teo (2006), Zhen and Chang (2012), Xu et al. (2012) and Hendriks et al. (2010)
  
  - **Reactive approach or disruption management**
    
    - Zeng et al.(2012) and Du et al. (2010) propose reactive strategies to minimize the impact of disruptions.
Baseline Schedule

- Any feasible berthing assignment and schedule of vessels along the quay respecting the spatial and temporal constraints on the individual vessels

- Best case: Optimal solution of the deterministic berth allocation problem (without accounting for any uncertainty in arrival information)
Deterministic BAP: Problem Definition

- **Find**
  - Optimal assignment and schedule of vessels along the quay (without accounting for any uncertainty in arrival information)

- **Given**
  - Expected arrival times of vessels
  - Handling times dependent on
    - **Cargo type** on the vessel (the relative location of the vessel along the quay with respect to the cargo location on the yard)
    - Number of cranes operating on the vessel

- **Objective**
  - Minimize total service times (waiting time + handling time) of vessels berthing at the port
BAP Solution
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Discretization
MILP Model

Objective Function

\[
\min \sum_{i \in N} (m_i - A_i + c_i)
\]

Decision variables:

- \(m_i\) starting time of handling of vessel \(i \in N\);
- \(A_i\) arrival time of vessel \(i \in N\);
- \(c_i\) total handling time of vessel \(i \in N\);
MILP Model

Dynamic vessel arrival constraints

\[ m_i - A_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N, \]

Non overlapping constraints

\[ \sum_{k \in M} (b_k s_k^j) + B(1 - y_{ij}) \geq \sum_{k \in M} (b_k s_k^i) + L_i \quad \forall i, j \in N, i \neq j, \]

\[ m_j + B(1 - z_{ij}) \geq m_i + c_i \quad \forall i, j \in N, i \neq j, \]

\[ y_{ij} + y_{ji} + z_{ij} + z_{ji} \geq 1 \quad \forall i, j \in N, i \neq j, \]
MILP Model

Section covering constraints

\[ \sum_{k \in M} s_k^i = 1 \quad \forall i \in N , \]

\[ \sum_{k \in M} (b_k s_i^k) + L_i \leq L \quad \forall i \in N , \]

\[ \sum_{l \in M} (d_{ilk} s_i^l) = x_{ik} \quad \forall i \in N , \forall k \in M , \]

Draft Restrictions

\[ (d_k - D_i) x_{ik} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N , \forall k \in M , \]
MILP Model

Determination of Handling Times

- Given an input vector of unit handling times for each combination of cargo type and section along the quay
- Specialized facilities (conveyors, pipelines etc.) also modeled as cargo types
- All sections occupied by the vessel are operated simultaneously

\[ c_i \geq h_k^w p_{ilk} Q_i s_l^i \quad \forall i \in N, \forall k \in M, \forall l \in M, \forall w \in W_i \]

\( Q_i \) quantity of cargo to be loaded on or discharged from vessel \( i \)

\( h_k^w \) handling time for unit quantity of cargo \( w \in W \) and vessel berthed at section \( k \in M \)

\( p_{ilk} \) fraction of cargo handled at section \( k \in M \) when vessel \( i \) is berthed at starting section \( l \in M \)
GSPP Model

- Used in context of container terminals by Christensen and Holst (2008)

- Generate set $P$ of columns, where each column $p \in P$ represents a feasible assignment of a single vessel in both space and time

- Generate two matrices
  - Matrix $A = (A_{ip})$; equal to 1 if vessel $i \in N$ is the assigned vessel in the feasible assignment represented by column $p \in P$
  - Matrix $B = (b_{st}^{p})$; equal to 1 if section $s \in M$ is occupied at time $t \in H$ in column $p \in P$

*Note: Assume integer values for all time measurements*
GSPP Formulation: A simple example

- \( |N| = 2, |M| = 3, |H| = 3 \)

- Vessel 1 cannot occupy section 3 owing to spatial constraints (does not have conveyor facility), vessel 2 arrives at time \( t = 1 \)

- Constraint matrix \( P \) has 4 feasible assignments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vessel 1</th>
<th>Vessel 2</th>
<th>Section 1, Time 1</th>
<th>Section 1, Time 2</th>
<th>Section 1, Time 3</th>
<th>Section 2, Time 1</th>
<th>Section 2, Time 2</th>
<th>Section 2, Time 3</th>
<th>Section 3, Time 1</th>
<th>Section 3, Time 2</th>
<th>Section 3, Time 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1, Time 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1, Time 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 1, Time 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2, Time 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2, Time 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2, Time 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3, Time 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3, Time 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3, Time 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GSPP Model Formulation

Objective Function:

\[
\min \sum_{p \in P} \left( d_p \lambda_p + h_p \lambda_p \right)
\]

Constraints:

\[
\sum_{p \in P} \left( A_{ip} \lambda_p \right) = 1 \quad \forall \; i \in N
\]

\[
\sum_{p \in P} \left( b_{st} \lambda_p \right) \leq 1 \quad \forall \; s \in M, \; \forall \; t \in H
\]

\(d_p\): delay in service associated with assignment \(p \in P\)

\(h_p\): handling time associated with assignment \(p \in P\)

\(\lambda_p\): binary parameter, equal to 1 if assignment \(p \in P\) is part of the optimal solution
Computational Results

- Instances based on data from SAQR port
- All tests were run on an Intel Core i7 (2.80 GHz) processor and used a 32-bit version of CPLEX 12.2.
- Results inspired by port data show that the problem is complex!
- MILP formulation fails to produce optimal results for even small instances with \( |N| = 10 \) vessels within CPLEX time limit of 2 hours.
- The performance of the GSPP model is quite remarkable!
  - Can solve instances up to \( |N| = 40 \) vessels
  - Limitations: For larger instances, or longer horizon \( H \) solver runs out of memory (use dynamic column generation!)
- Alternate heuristic approach based on squeaky wheel optimization (SWO) performs reasonably well for not so large instances. Optimality gap is less than 15% (with respect to exact solution obtained from GSPP approach) for all tested instances.
Problem Definition: Real time recovery in BAP

- **Objective:** For a given baseline berthing schedule, minimize the total realized costs including the total actual service costs and total cost of rescheduling in space and time

\[
\min Z = \sum_{i \in N_u} (m_i - A_i + h_i) + \sum_{i \in N_u} (c_1 | b_i(k') - b_i(k) | + c_2 \mu_i | e_i' - e_i |)
\]

- \(N_u\): set of unassigned vessels
- \(c_1\): cost coefficient of shifting berthing location
- \(b_i(k')\): actual berthing location of vessel \(i\)
- \(b_i(k)\): estimated berthing location of vessel \(i\)
- \(c_2\): cost coefficient of departure delay
- \(\mu_i\): service priority assigned to vessel \(i\)
- \(e_i'\): actual departure time of vessel \(i\)
- \(e_i\): estimated departure time of vessel \(i\)
Problem Definition: Real time recovery in BAP

To maximize revenues earned by the port while guaranteeing a minimum level of service, we propose that the bulk terminal managers adopt and implement certain strategic measures

- Handling Time Restrictions: Impose an upper bound on the maximum handling time of a vessel $i \in N$ if it arrives within a pre-defined arrival time window $[A_i - U_i, A_i + U_i]$
Penalty Cost on late arriving vessels: Impose a penalty fees on vessels arriving beyond the right end of the arrival window, $A_i + U_i$
Problem Definition: Real time recovery in BAP

- **Key Assumptions**
  - **Vessel Priorities:** In practice, if a vessel with higher priority arrives late, it may still be given preference over a vessel with low service priority.
  - **Release of information:** Each incoming vessel updates its exact arrival time a certain fixed time period $\tau$ before its actual arrival time, and once updated it does not change again.
  - **Future vessel arrivals:** At any time instant $t$, the arrival time of an unassigned vessel $i \in N_j$ that is not updated is assumed equal to the expected arrival time $A_i$ if current time $t$ is less than $A_i - \tau$, or otherwise assumed equal to $t + \tau$. (The handling time restrictions are imposed accordingly.)
Solution Algorithms

- **Optimization based recovery algorithm**
  - re-optimize the berthing schedule of all unassigned vessels using set-partitioning approach every time the arrival time of any vessel is updated and it deviates from its expected value.
  - the berthing assignment of a vessel determined after its arrival update is frozen and unchangeable.

- **Heuristic based recovery algorithm**
  - If a vessel has arrived and current time in the planning horizon is greater than or equal to the estimated berthing time of the vessel (as per baseline schedule), assign it to the section(s) at which the total realized cost of all unassigned vessels at that instant is minimized.
  - Assumption: All other unassigned vessels are assigned to the estimated berthing sections as per the baseline schedule.

\[
\min Z = \sum_{i \in N_u} (m_i - A_i + h_i) + \sum_{i \in N_u} \left( c_1 \mid b_i(k') - b_i(k) \mid + c_2 \mu_i \mid e_i' - e_i \mid \right)
\]
Optimization based Recovery Algorithm

**Require**: Baseline schedule of set $N$ of vessels, set $M$ of sections  
Initialize set $N_u$ of unassigned vessels to $N$  
Initialize boolean array arrivalUpdated of size $N$ = false  
forall $i \in N$  
Initialize counter = 0

**while** $|N_u| > 0$ and counter $\leq |H|$ **do**

- Initialize boolean shouldOptimize = false

  **for** $i = 1$ to $N$ **do**
  - if arrivalUpdated[$i$] = false and counter $\geq a_i - \tau$ and $a_i \neq A_i$ **then**
    - Set arrivalUpdated[$i$] = true
    - Set $A_i = a_i$
    - Set shouldOptimize = true
  **end if**
  **end for**

  if shouldOptimize **then**
  - Re-optimize **forall** $i \in N_u$
  **end if**

  **for** $i = 1$ to $N_u$ **do**
  - if counter = latest updated start time $m_i'$ **then**
    - Assign vessel $i$ to latest updated location $b_i(k')$
    - Set $N_u$ to $N_u - \{i\}$
  **end if**
  **end for**

  counter++

**end while**
Heuristic based Recovery Algorithm

**Require**: Baseline schedule of set $N$ of vessels, set $M$ of sections
- Initialize set $N_u$ of unassigned vessels to $N$
- Initialize boolean array $arrivalUpdated$ of size $N = false$ forall $i \in N$
- Initialize counter $= 0$

while $|N_u| > 0$ and counter $\leq |H|$ do
  for berthing Schedule: $b$ do
    if $b$.hasArrived AND !$b$.isAssigned then
      Set boolean $foundSection = false$
      for $k = 1$ to $M$ do
        if isStartSectionAvailable($b$.vessel,$k$) then
          $foundSection = true$;
          break;
        end if
      end for
    end if
  end for
  if $foundSection$ AND counter $\geq b$.estimatedBerthingTime then
    Scan the entire quay and assign the vessel to the set of sections with minimum total cost forall $i \in N_u$
  end if
end while
Preliminary Results

- $|N| = 25$ vessels, $|M| = 10$ sections, $c_1 = 1.0$, $c_2 = 0.02$, $U_i = 8$ hours, $\tau = 5$ hours, $\eta = 1.2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_v$</th>
<th>Optimization based algorithm</th>
<th>Heuristic Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Realized cost</td>
<td>Time (seconds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>534.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>619.6</td>
<td>148.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>689.9</td>
<td>159.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>786.0</td>
<td>158.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>820.0</td>
<td>214.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>851.2</td>
<td>181.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Results averaged over 10 arrival disruption scenarios
- Optimization based algorithm outperforms the heuristic based approach, but computationally much more expensive
Results averaged over 100 arrival scenarios for every instance

Higher values of $\eta$ do not significantly increase the total realized costs of the berthing schedule for different delay scenarios

Scope to earn more revenue from the late arriving vessels for arrival beyond the permissible arrival window of the vessels
Conclusions

- Modeled and solved the dynamic, hybrid berth allocation problem in bulk ports
- Addressed the problem of recovering a baseline berthing schedule in bulk ports in real time as actual arrival data is revealed.
- Discussed strategies that the port can adopt and implement to maximize their revenues while ensuring a desired level of service
- Developed solution algorithms to solve the BAP in real time in bulk ports with the objective to minimize the total realized costs of the updated schedule.
- Conducted simple numerical experiments to validate the efficiency of the algorithms. Optimization based approach outperforms the heuristic approach, but is computationally much more expensive.
Ongoing and Future Work

- More extensive numerical analysis to study the impact of
  - parameter values related to rescheduling of vessels including cost of shifting the vessel along the quay and cost of departure delay of a vessel
  - bounds on the maximum handling times for vessels arriving within the prescribed arrival window.
  - penalty cost function dependent on the late arriving vessels for arrival delay beyond the prescribed arrival window of the vessel

- Develop a robust formulation of the berth allocation problem in bulk ports with a certain degree of anticipation of variability in information.
Thank you!