Network Design For SBB Cargo Project report

Nikola Obrenović, Virginie Lurkin, Michel Bierlaire Transport and Mobility Laboratory TRANSP-OR École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL

Vincent J. Baeriswyl, Markus Streckeisen, Jasmin Bigdon SBB Cargo AG, Olten, Switzerland

October 25, 2018





Marshaling and shunting yards

• Bundling different commodities with close origins and close destinations



Problem setting

- Existing SBB Cargo network
 - 2 inner marshaling yards
 - 3 border marshaling yards
 - Approx. 70 shunting yards
 50 can be changed

- Solution should provide:
 - Optimal number and locations of marshaling and shunting yards
 - Set of used trains
 - Assignment of commodities to trains







- Combination and extension of the Hub Location (HLP) and Multicommodity Network Design (MNDP) Problems
- Objective function:







Problem definition (cont.)

- Constraints from MNDP:
 - Flow conservation constraints for trains
 - Arc capacity constrains
- Constraints from HLP:
 - Hub capacity constraints
 - Maximal number of hubs
- Node type constraints:



$$r_i + s_i + m_i = 1, \qquad \forall i \in N$$



Problem definition (cont.)

- Trains modelling
 - Commodity assignment constraints •
 - Flow conservation constraints for commodities •
 - Train capacity constraints •

 $f_{pq}^{k} \leq s_{p}^{k} + m_{p}^{k} + o_{kp}, \qquad \forall p, q \in N, \forall k \in K$ $f_{pq}^k \leq s_q^k + m_q^k + d_{kq}, \quad \forall p, q \in N, \forall k \in K$

 $\sum_{k} f_{pq}^{k} v^{k} l^{k} \leq L_{t} n_{pq},$ $\forall p, q \in N$





- Close collaboration with Vincent J. Baeriswyl
- Received initial data set from SBB Cargo:
 - infrastructure, cost, and demand data (OD pairs)
- Additional data supplied when the requirement is identified





- Size of the SBB Cargo network: approx. 2100 stations, 2500 direct links, over 65000 commodities
 - Yearly demand, scaled to daily average
- Heuristic algorithm composed of 4 stages:
 - Yard location and sizing
 - Initial train generation
 - Commodity assignment (routing)
 - Train number reduction





Heuristic algorithm – Yard location and sizing

- Yard location:
 - Adaptive large neighborhood search (ALNS)
 - Variable neighborhood search (VNS)



Heuristic algorithm – Initial trains generation



Heuristic algorithm – Commodity assignment



TRANSP-OR ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

SBB CFF FFS Cargo

Heuristic algorithm – Reduction of train number



Heuristic algorithm – development details

- Developed algorithm is very flexible:
 - Easily extendable with additional neighborhood operators, i.e. network transformations
 - Easy definition of specific initial network states, e.g. all marshaling yards closed, several additional marshaling yards open, etc.
- Algorithm modes:
 - Daily average demand
 - Peak demand





- Two usage strategies:
 - SI: allowing increase in the number of marshaling yards
 - S2: limiting the number of marshaling yards to the current one
- Initial network state
 - The current network state
 - Changed number and locations of the marshaling and shunting yard





Algorithm results

• Best resulting networks obtained from the current network state

Strat.	New MY	Rem. MY	New SY	Rem. SY	Algor.	Run. time	Cost reduct.
S1	6	1	2	2	VNS	2h	10.01%
S2	1	2	5	49	ALNS	17h	16%

• SI should in theory yield a better result, but the investigation in this direction was shorter due to a business decision





Algorithm results – Marshaling yards



Algorithm results – Shunting yards



- Locomotive and personnel (distance-dependent) costs are dominant over weight-dependent commodity transportation costs
- Costs of yard opening and maintenance are not taken into account
 - Potentially would further reduce the number of yards and their size
 - Could be included in another case study
- New yards can be near the existing ones
 - The objective function has been extended to penalize this situation





Results analysis - Routing

Conclusions

- Developed algorithm explores various network changes, their combinations and their influence to the transportation costs
 - Flexible, easily extendable algorithm
- The algorithm identified network changes resulting in transportation cost reduction
- The objective function should be extended with the real **costs of maintenance** of the marshaling and shunting yards
- Algorithm parallelization performance improvement

Possible future collaborations

- Development of the exact solution method (on the whole set or subset of input data)
 - Also to benchmark the heuristic results
- Algorithm for daily cargo management
 - Train routing
 - Track allocation
 - Staff allocation
 - Demand prediction

Questions? nikola.obrenovic@epfl.ch

References

- C. Barnhart, N. Krishnan and P. H. Vance: *Multicommodity Flow Problems*. In C.A. Floudas and P. M. Pardalos (eds): *Encyclopedia of Optimization*, vol. 14, pp. 2354-2362, Springer, 2009.
- R. Z. Farahani, M. Hekmatfar, A. B. Arabani and E. Nikbakhsh: Hub location problems: A review of models, classication, solution techniques, and applications. Computers & Industrial Engineering 64, pp. 1096-1109, 2013.
- B. Gendron, T. G. Crainic and A. Frangioni: *Multicommodity Capacitated Network Design*. In B. Sanso and P. Soriano, P. (eds): *Telecommunications Network Planning*, pp. 1-19, Centre for Research on Transportation, Springer, Boston, 1999.
- N. Mladenović and P. Hansen: Variable neighborhood search. Computers & Operations Research, vol. 24(11), pp. 1097–1100, 1997.
- S. Ropke and D. Pisinger: An adaptive large neighborhood search heuristic for the pickup and delivery problem with time windows. Transportation Science 40, pp. 455-472, 2006.
- S. Binder, Y. Maknoon and M. Bierlaire: *Exogenous priority rules for the capacitated passenger* assignment problem. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, vol. 105, pp. 19-42, 2017.

