Characterization of multidirectional pedestrian flows based on three-dimensional Voronoi tessellations

Marija Nikolić Michel Bierlaire Flurin Hänseler

hEART 2015 Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen

September 9, 2015





Traffic characteristics

- **Density**: the number of pedestrians present in an area at a certain time instance [#ped/m²]
- Flow: the number of pedestrians passing a line segment in a unit of time [#ped/ms]
- Velocity: the average of the velocities of pedestrians present in an area at a certain time instance / passing a line segment in a unit of time [m/s]
- LOS indicators
- Fundamental diagram specification
- Models of pedestrian dynamics





- Several approaches proposed in the literature [Duives, 2012; Zhang, 2012]
- Arbitrary discretization
- Inconsistent results
- Multi-directional flow composition neglected





Arbitrary discretization

- It may generate noise in the data [Openshaw, 1983]
- Results may be highly sensitive to minor changes of discretization







Inconsistent results in observations and modeling

• Averaging over different degrees of freedom may lead to incomparable results [Seyfried et al., 2005]

Multi-directional nature of pedestrian flows

• Definitions may not results in the desired outcome if pedestrians do not walk in the same direction [van Wageningen-Kessels et al., 2014]





Voronoi-based spatial discretization

• Assigns a personal region A_i to each pedestrian *i*: each point in the personal region is closer to *i* than to any other pedestrian, with respect of the Euclidean distance

$$A_i = \{p | d_E(p, p_i) \leq d_E(p, p_j), \forall j\}$$







Steffen and Seyfried, 2010

• Density and speed are defined per unit of space via Voronoi diagrams

$$k = rac{\int \int
ho_{xy} dx dy}{\Delta x \Delta y}$$
, $v = rac{\int \int v_{xy} dx dy}{\Delta x \Delta y}$

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{1}{A_i}, \ \rho_{xy}$$
 - density distribution, A_i - area of Voronoi cell associated to pedestrian i

 v_{xy} - instantaneous speed of pedestrian i





Characterization based on Edie's definitions







Pedestrian trajectories

• The trajectory of pedestrian *i* is a curve in space and time

$$p_i(t) = (x_i(t), y_i(t), t)$$

- Voronoi diagram associated with trajectories
- A point p(t) belongs to the set $V_i(t)$ if

$$d(p(t), p_i(t)) \leq d(p(t), p_j(t)), \forall j$$

• Each pedestrian *i* is associated with a Voronoi tube V_i





The set of all points in V_i corresponding to a specific time t

$$V_i(t) = \{(x, y, t) \in V_i\} \sim [m^2]$$



Density indicator

$$k_i(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{V_i(t)}$$





Voronoi-based traffic indicators

The set of all points in V_i corresponding to a given location x and y

$$V_i(x) = \{(x, y, t) \in V_i\} \sim [ms]$$

$$V_i(y) = \{(x, y, t) \in V_i\} \sim [ms]$$

Flow indicator

$$ec{q_i}(x,y,t) = \left(egin{array}{c} rac{1}{V_i(x)} \ rac{1}{V_i(y)} \end{array}
ight)$$

Velocity indicator

$$\vec{v}_i(x, y, t) = \frac{\vec{q}_i(x, y, t)}{k_i(x, y, t)}$$







- In practice, collected through an appropriate tracking technology [Daamen and Hoogendoorn, 2003, Alahi et al., 2011]
- Time is discretized: $t_s = [t_0, t_1, ..., t_f]$
- The trajectory is described as a finite collection of triplets

$$p_{is} = (x_{is}, y_{is}, t_s)$$





Characterization based on the sample of points

- Interpolation
 - Introduces errors
- Voronoi diagrams at t_s
 - Needs data that are synchronized
 - Otherwise, the density is underestimated
- 3D Voronoi diagrams for the sample of points
 - The points at t_s are the only available data
 - Spatio-temporal distance (assignment rule)





- Voronoi diagram associated with the points p_{is}
- Each point p_{is} is associated with a Voronoi cell V_{is}
- A point p belongs to the set V_{is} if

 $d_*(p, p_{is}) \leq d_*(p, p_{js}), \forall j$

• $d_*(p, p_{is})$ - spatio-temporal distance





• The set of all points in V_{is} corresponding to a given location (x, y)

$$V_{is}(x,y) = \{(x,y,t) \in V_{is}\} \sim [s]$$

Density indicator

$$k_i(x, y, t) = \frac{V_{is}(x_{is}, y_{is})}{Vol(V_{is})}$$





Voronoi-based traffic indicators

• The set of all points in V_{is} corresponding to a specific time t $V_{is}(t) = \{(x, y, t) \in V_{is}\} \sim [m^2]$

Flow indicator

$$\vec{q}_i(x, y, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_i}{V_{is}} \\ \frac{y_i}{V_{is}} \end{pmatrix}$$

x_i - a maximum distance in x direction in $V_{is}(t_{is})$
y_i - a maximum distance in y direction in $V_{is}(t_{is})$

Velocity indicator

$$ec{v}_i(x,y,t) = rac{ec{q}_i(x,y,t)}{k_i(x,y,t)}$$





Euclidean distance

$$d_E(p, p_{is}) = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T (p - p_{is})}$$

Mahalanobis distance

$$d_M(p, p_{is}) = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T M_{is}(p - p_{is})}$$

- *M*_{is} symmetric, positive-definite matrix
- M_{is} defines how distances are measured from the perspective of pedestrian i





Euclidean distance

$$d_E(p, p_{is}) = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T (p - p_{is})}$$

Mahalanobis distance

$$d_M = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T M_{is}(p - p_{is})}$$

- *M_{is}* symmetric, positive-definite matrix
- *M_{is}* defines how distances are measured from the perspective of pedestrian *i*





3D Voronoi discretization

Euclidean distance







Euclidean distance



Reproduces settings with uniform and non-uniform movement





Delft case study

Bidirectional flow [Daamen and Hoogendoorn, 2003]

- Trajectories extracted from the digital video sequences
- The position of each individual is available every 0.1s
- Total number of trajectories: 1,123
- The average length of the trajectories: 10 meters
- The average time of the trajectories: 10 seconds.







Voronoi-based velocity maps

Lane formation



Allows to correlate the momentary speed of an individual pedestrian (or a group of pedestrians) with the availability of space





3D Voronoi vs. grid-based method

Density sequences



Voronoi-based approach leads to smooth transitions in measured characteristics





Euclidean distance

$$d_E(p, p_{is}) = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T (p - p_{is})}$$

Mahalanobis distance

$$d_M(p, p_{is}) = \sqrt{(p - p_{is})^T M_{is}(p - p_{is})}$$

- *M*_{is} symmetric, positive-definite matrix
- M_{is} defines how distances are measured from the perspective of pedestrian i





Directions of interest

$$p_{is} = (x_{is}, y_{is}, t_s), \ v_i(t_s) = \frac{1}{t_{(s+1)} - t_s} \begin{pmatrix} x_{i(s+1)} - x_{is} \\ y_{i(s+1)} - y_{is} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a^{2}(t_{s}) = \frac{1}{||v_{i}(t_{s})||}, ||a^{2}(t_{s})|| = 1$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} d_{x}^{1}(t_{s}) \end{array} \right)$$

$$d^{2}(t_{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} d^{2}_{y}(t_{s}) \\ d^{2}_{y}(t_{s}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ d^{1}(t_{s})^{T}d^{2}(t_{s}) = 0, \ ||d^{2}(t_{s})|| = 1$$
$$d^{3}(t_{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ t_{(s+1)} - t_{s} \end{pmatrix}, \ ||d^{3}(t_{s})|| = t_{(s+1)} - t_{s}$$





Change of coordinates

$$S_{1}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} + (t_{(s+1)} - t_{s})v_{i}(t_{s}) + \delta d^{1}(t_{s})$$

$$S_{2}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} - (t_{(s+1)} - t_{s})v_{i}(t_{s}) - \delta d^{1}(t_{s})$$

$$S_{3}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} + \delta d^{2}(t_{s})$$

$$S_{4}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} - \delta d^{2}(t_{s})$$

$$S_{5}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} - \delta d^{3}(t_{s})$$

$$S_{6}(t_{s},\delta) = p_{is} - \delta d^{3}(t_{s})$$

$$d_{M} = \sqrt{(S_{j}(t_{s},\delta) - p_{is})^{T}M_{is}(S_{j}(t_{s},\delta) - p_{is})} = \delta, j = 1, .., 6$$





3D Voronoi discretization

Mahalanobis distance







Numerical analysis



Benchmark

- Synthetic pedestrian trajectories
- Voronoi-based method for trajectories

Sample of points from trajectories

- Different sampling frequency
- Method
 - Voronoi diagrams with d_E
 - Voronoi diagrams with d_M





Numerical analysis



Benchmark

- Synthetic pedestrian trajectories
- Voronoi-based method for trajectories

Sample of points from trajectories

- Different sampling frequency
- Method
 - Voronoi diagrams with d_E
 - Voronoi diagrams with d_M





Density indicator



30 / 35

Speed indicator



federale de Lausanne 31 / 35

More numerical analysis

- Different scenarios
- Importance sampling
- Comparison with interpolation
- Different assignment rules anticipation of the forward movement of pedestrians





- The framework for pedestrian-oriented flow characterization
- Edie's definitions adapted through a data-driven discretization
- Reproduces the settings with uniform and non-uniform movement
- Reflects the self-organization phenomena
- Leads to smooth transitions in measured traffic characteristics
- Sampling frequency affects the accuracy of 3D Voronoi results





- More numerical analysis
- Real case study: train stations in Lausanne and Basel [Alahi et al., 2014]
- Stream-based definitions of indicators and their interaction [Nikolić and Bierlaire, 2014]
- Stream-based fundamental relationships for pedestrians





Thank you for your attention





35 / 35