The impact of counterflow on pedestrian walking times

Nicholas Molyneaux, Riccardo Scarinci, Michel Bierlaire

19th Swiss Transport Research Conference

May 17th, 2019

Outline

1. Introduction
   - Road DTMS
   - Pedestrian DTMS

2. Flow separators

3. Results & case study
   - Proof-of-concept
   - Lausanne pedestrian underpass

4. Conclusion & next steps

Introduction

Context

Pedestrians suffer from congestion just as vehicles do:

- increased travel time,
- excessive density.

Which in turn can make you:

- be late for your job interview,
- despise traveling in public,
- miss your **connecting train** or plane,
- ...

Context

Higher capacity & faster PT services, to serve higher demand.

Context

Hub diversification (Lausanne, CH train station).

Motivation

What measures can be taken ?

- Decrease pedestrian demand (counter productive !)
- Spread the load over time & space
- Influence pedestrian's routes
- ...

Simulation is needed to address the complexity of the problem.

Integrate management strategies specific to pedestrian traffic within a Dynamic Traffic Management System (DTMS).

Framework


Dynamic traffic management
Real time data
Infrastructure data
Historical data
Contextual data
State estimation
Network loading
Congestion
Paths
Behavioural choice
State prediction
Network loading
Congestion
Paths
Behavioural choice
KPI computation
Density
Travel time
Transfer success
etc
$t = t + \Delta t$
Control
100% compliance
Guidance
? % compliance

Introduction

Road DTMS

Road DTMS: Traffic models

Microscopic

VISSIM (Fellendorf and Vortisch, 2010), car following model (Newell, 2002), CA (Nagel and Schreckenberg, 1992), etc.

Mesoscopic

GK (Hoogendoorn and Bovy, 2001), (Burghout et al., 2006), etc.

Macroscopic

LWR (Lighthill and Whitham, 1955), METANET (Papageorgiou et al., 2010), CTM (Daganzo, 1995), etc.

For a general overview see (van Wageningen-Kessels et al., 2015)

Road DTMS: Control strategies

Ramp metering

Papageorgiou et al. (1991); Hegyi et al. (2005)

Variable speed limits

Papageorgiou et al. (2008); Lee et al. (2006); Hegyi et al. (2005)

Signalized intersections

Little et al. (1981); Lo (1999)

Variable message signs

Wardman et al. (1997); Erke et al. (2007)

Perimeter control

Ramezani et al. (2015); Keyvan-Ekbatani et al. (2013)

Introduction

Pedestrian DTMS

Pedestrian DTMS: Traffic models

Microscopic

Campanella et al. (2014); Helbing and Molnár (1995), ...

Mesoscopic

Hänseler et al. (2017), ...

Macroscopic

Hänseler et al. (2014); Hoogendoorn et al. (2014), ...

For a general overview see (Duives et al., 2013)

Pedestrian DTMS: Control strategies

Flow regulation for light rail

Zhang et al. (2016)

Static design & offline

Hassan et al. (2014); Zhang et al. (2017), ...

Evacuation & special events

Zhang et al. (2016); Bauer et al. (2007), ...

Strategies

What specific measures can be considered to impact dynamics:

- Adjustments to the PT schedule
- Control access to specific areas ⇒ gates
- Change link travel time ⇒ moving walkways
- Prevent counter flow ⇒ **flow separators**
- Attract pedestrians to specific locations

Proposed management strategy
Flow separators

Objective

Head-on-head "collisions" induce significant extra travel time.

$$\Downarrow$$

Reduce this counter-flow to a minimum.

$$\Downarrow$$

Dynamically allocate part of the available corridor width to each direction.

Setup



Figure: Schematic presentation of the devices used to separate the opposing flows. The inflow at each end determines the width available to each directed flow.

Width available for each direction is proportional to measured flows:

$$w_{AB}(t) = \begin{cases} w_{AB}^{min}, & \text{if } w \cdot \dfrac{q_{AB}}{q_{AB}+q_{BA}} \leq w_{AB}^{min} \\ w_{AB}^{max}, & \text{if } w \cdot \dfrac{q_{AB}}{q_{AB}+q_{BA}} \geq w_{AB}^{max} \\ w \cdot \dfrac{q_{AB}}{q_{AB}+q_{BA}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Results & case study

Case study setup

Proof-of-concept

- Single straight corridor
- Demand pattern: shifted sine-shaped flows

Pedestrian underpass

- Western pedestrian underpass in Lausanne's station.
- Demand from measured trajectories (VisioSafe data, 2013).

Case study setup

- Discrete event simulator combined with a
- disaggregate pedestrian motion model: NOMAD.

- Graph-based route choice (but no critical for now).
- Stochastic simulation $\rightarrow$ multiple runs.

Results & case study

Proof-of-concept

Infrastructure



Figure: Dynamic flow separator.

Demand



Figure: Demand pattern used to evaluate the flow separator.

Travel times



Figure: Median travel time distribution.

Travel time median - sensitivity to compliance



Figure: Travel time median as a function of demand.

XXX REPLACE GRAPH WITH BOX-PLOTS

TRANS-FORM

Travel time variance - sensitivity to compliance



Figure: Travel time variance as a function of demand.

XXX REPLACE GRAPH WITH BOX-PLOTS

Results & case study

Lausanne pedestrian underpass

Infrastructure



Infrastructure



TRANS-FORM

Demand



Travel time


Change in median travel time per OD class
15
7.5
0
−7.5
−15
Relative change in travel time [%]
crossShort
crossLong
crossVeryLong
nonCrossShort
nonCrossLong
nonCrossVeryLong
crossSideShort
crossSideLong
crossSideVeryLong
other

TRANS-FORM

Walking speed



Conclusion & next steps

Conclusions

- Integration of one pedestrian control strategies in a DTMS.
- Flow separators significantly improves the travel time.
- Positive results in real-life case study.

Next steps

1. Investigate more complex control laws (improvement ?).
2. Model predictive control.
3. Simulation based optimization.
4. Dynamic control of accelerated moving walkways.

Thank you for your attention ! Questions ?

nicholas.molyneaux@epfl.ch

Acknowledgments

This research was performed as part of the TRANS-FORM (Smart transfers through unravelling urban form and travel flow dynamics) project funded by the Swiss Federal Office of Energy SFOE and Federal Office of Transport FOT grant agreement SI/501438-01 as part of JPI Urban Europe ERA-NET Cofound Smart Cities and Communities initiative. We thankfully acknowledge both agencies for their financial support.

Bauer, D., Seer, S., and Brändle, N. (2007). Macroscopic pedestrian flow simulation for designing crowd control measures in public transport after special events. In *Proceedings of the 2007 Summer Computer Simulation Conference*, SCSC '07, pages 1035–1042, San Diego, CA, USA. Society for Computer Simulation International.

Burghout, W., Koutsopoulos, H. N., and Andreasson, I. (2006). A discrete-event mesoscopic traffic simulation model for hybrid traffic simulation. In *2006 IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference*, pages 1102–1107.

Campanella, M., Hoogendoorn, S., and Daamen, W. (2014). The nomad model: theory, developments and applications. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 2:462–467.

Daganzo, C. F. (1995). A finite difference approximation of the kinematic wave model of traffic flow. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 29(4):261 – 276.

Duives, D. C., Daamen, W., and Hoogendoorn, S. P. (2013). State-of-the-art crowd motion simulation models. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 37:193 – 209.

Erke, A., Sagberg, F., and Hagman, R. (2007). Effects of route guidance variable message signs (vms) on driver behaviour. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 10(6):447 – 457.

Fellendorf, M. and Vortisch, P. (2010). *Microscopic Traffic Flow Simulator VISSIM*, pages 63–93. Springer New York, New York, NY.

Hänseler, F. S., Bierlaire, M., Farooq, B., and MÃŒhlematter, T. (2014). A macroscopic loading model for time-varying pedestrian flows in public walking areas. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 69:60 – 80.

Hänseler, F. S., Lam, W. H., Bierlaire, M., Lederrey, G., and Nikolić, M. (2017). A dynamic network loading model for anisotropic and congested pedestrian flows. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 95:149 – 168.

Hassan, F. H., Swift, S., and Tucker, A. (2014). Using heuristic search with pedestrian simulation statistics to find feasible spatial layout design elements. *Journal of Algorithms*, 2(4):86–104.

Hegyi, A., Schutter, B. D., and Hellendoorn, H. (2005). Model predictive control for optimal coordination of ramp metering and variable speed limits. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 13(3):185 – 209.

Helbing, D. and Molnár, P. (1995). Social force model for pedestrian dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E*, 51:4282–4286.

Hoogendoorn, S. P. and Bovy, P. H. (2001). Generic gas-kinetic traffic systems modeling with applications to vehicular traffic flow. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 35(4):317 – 336.

Hoogendoorn, S. P., van Wageningen-Kessels, F. L., Daamen, W., and Duives, D. C. (2014). Continuum modelling of pedestrian flows: From microscopic principles to self-organised macroscopic phenomena. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 416:684 – 694.

Keyvan-Ekbatani, M., Papageorgiou, M., and Papamichail, I. (2013). Urban congestion gating control based on reduced operational network fundamental diagrams. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 33:74 – 87.

Lee, C., Hellinga, B., and Saccomanno, F. (2006). Evaluation of variable speed limits to improve traffic safety. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 14(3):213 – 228.

Lighthill, M. J. and Whitham, G. B. (1955). On kinematic waves ii. a theory of traffic flow on long crowded roads. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 229(1178):317–345.

Little, J. D., Kelson, M. D., and Gartner, N. H. (1981). Maxband: A versatile program for setting signals on arteries and triangular networks.

Lo, H. K. (1999). A novel traffic signal control formulation. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 33(6):433 – 448.

Nagel, K. and Schreckenberg, M. (1992). A cellular automaton model for freeway traffic. *Journal de physique I*, 2(12):2221–2229.

Newell, G. (2002). A simplified car-following theory: a lower order model. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 36(3):195 – 205.

Papageorgiou, M., Hadj-Salem, H., and Blosseville, J.-M. (1991). Alinea: A local feedback control law for on-ramp metering. *Transportation Research Record*, 1320(1):58–67.

Papageorgiou, M., Kosmatopoulos, E., and Papamichail, I. (2008). Effects of variable speed limits on motorway traffic flow. *Transportation Research Record*, 2047(1):37–48.

Papageorgiou, M., Papamichail, I., Messmer, A., and Wang, Y. (2010). *Traffic Simulation with METANET*, pages 399–430. Springer New York, New York, NY.

Ramezani, M., Haddad, J., and Geroliminis, N. (2015). Dynamics of heterogeneity in urban networks: aggregated traffic modeling and hierarchical control. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 74:1 – 19.

van Wageningen-Kessels, F., van Lint, H., Vuik, K., and Hoogendoorn, S. (2015). Genealogy of traffic flow models. *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics*, 4(4):445–473.

Wardman, M., Bonsall, P., and Shires, J. (1997). Driver response to variable message signs: a stated preference investigation. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 5(6):389 – 405.

Zhang, Y., Su, R., and Zhang, Y. (2017). A macroscopic propagation model for bidirectional pedestrian flows on signalized crosswalks. pages 6289–6294.

Zhang, Z., Jia, L., and Qin, Y. (2016). Level-of-service based hierarchical feedback control method of network-wide pedestrian flow. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2016.