EPFL ENAC INTER TRANSP-OR **Prof. M. Bierlaire** 

Mathematical Modeling of Behavior Fall 2013/ 2014



Exercise session 13

This session focuses on *mixture models*. Since the estimation of this type of models can sometimes take several hours (or days), we provide estimation results for some models based on the Swissmetro data. Try to analyse the given specification for each type of model: What are the underlying assumptions? Is the model correctly specified? What conclusions can you draw from the estimation results? Finally, it would be interesting to compare these results with the results of models estimated during the previous lab sessions.

Consult the BIOGEME user manual for details on how different random distributions are specified.

# Heteroskedastic Model

In this first model specification we assume that the ASCs are randomly distributed with mean  $\bar{\alpha}_{car}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_{SM}$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_{car}$  and  $\sigma_{SM}$ . Below, we provide the utility expressions and the related BIOGEME code. The normalization is with respect to the train alternative. The estimation results are reported in Table 1.

$$V_{car} = ASC_{car} + \beta_{time}CAR\_TT + \beta_{cost}CAR\_CO$$
  

$$V_{train} = \beta_{time}TRAIN\_TT + \beta_{cost}TRAIN\_CO + \beta_{fr}TRAIN\_FR$$
  

$$V_{SM} = ASC_{SM} + \beta_{time}SM\_TT + \beta_{cost}SM\_CO + \beta_{fr}SM\_FR$$

Estimation results				
Variable	Variable	Coefficient	Robust	Robust
number	name	estimate	standard error	$t \ statistic$
1	$\bar{\alpha}_{car}$	0.244	0.107	2.288
2	$\bar{lpha}_{SM}$	0.844	0.178	4.749
3	3 $\sigma_{car}$ 0.099 0.097 1.018			
4	$\sigma_{SM}$	2.918	0.417	7.004
5	$\beta_{cost}$	-0.017	0.002	- 10.939
6	$\beta_{fr}$	-0.008	0.001	-5.717
7	$\beta_{time}$	-0.016	0.002	-8.657
Summary statistics Number of draws=100				
Number of observations=6768				
L(0) = -6964.66				
$L(\hat{\beta}) = -5257.98$				
$\bar{\rho}^2 = 0.245049$				

Table 1: Heteroskedastic specification

## Error Component Model

We present two different specifications of error component models. Below, we provide the systematic utility expressions and the related BIOGEME code for the first model. The train and SM modes share the random term  $\zeta_{rail}$ , which is assumed to be normally distributed  $\zeta_{rail} \sim N(m_{rail}, \sigma_{rail}^2)$ . We estimate the standard deviation  $\sigma_{rail}$  of this error component, while the mean  $m_{rail}$  is fixed to zero. The estimation results are reported in Table 2.

$$V_{car} = ASC_{car} + \beta_{time}CAR\_TT + \beta_{cost}CAR\_CO$$

$$V_{train} = \beta_{time}TRAIN\_TT + \beta_{cost}TRAIN\_CO + \beta_{fr}TRAIN\_FR + \zeta_{rail}$$

$$V_{SM} = ASC_{SM} + \beta_{time}SM\_TT + \beta_{cost}SM\_CO + \beta_{fr}SM\_FR + \zeta_{rail}$$

		Estimation		
Variable	Variable	Coefficient	Robust	Robust
number	name	estimate	standard error	$t \ statistic$
1	$ASC_{car}$	0.184	0.080	2.301
2	$ASC_{SM}$	0.449	0.093	4.802
3	$\beta_{cost}$	-0.011	0.0006	-15.915
4	$\beta_{fr}$	-0.005	0.0009	-5.449
5	$\beta_{time}$	-0.0128	0.001	-12.187
6	$\sigma_{rail}$	0.153	0.058	2.665
Number o Number o	y statistic f draws=1 f observati	00		
L(0) = -696				
$L(\hat{\beta}) = -5314.7$ $\bar{\rho}^2 = 0.236905$				

# 21 SM\_SP SM\_AV ASC\_SM\_SP \* one + B\_TIME \* SM\_TT + B\_COST \* SM\_COST + B\_FR \* SM\_FR + RAIL [ RAIL\_std ] \* one

Table 2: First Error con	nponent specification
--------------------------	-----------------------

In the second model we use a more complex error structure. The specification is presented below and the estimation results are reported in Table 3.

$$V_{car} = ASC_{car} + \beta_{time}CAR\_TT + \beta_{cost}CAR\_CO + \zeta_{classic}$$

$$V_{train} = \beta_{time}TRAIN\_TT + \beta_{cost}TRAIN\_CO + \beta_{fr}TRAIN\_FR + \zeta_{rail} + \zeta_{classic}$$

$$V_{SM} = ASC_{SM} + \beta_{time}SM\_TT + \beta_{cost}SM\_CO + \beta_{fr}SM\_FR + \zeta_{rail}$$

Estimation results				
Variable	Variable	Coefficient	Robust	Robust
number	name	estimate	standard error	$t\ statistic$
1	$ASC_{car}$	0.254	0.110	2.319
2	$ASC_{SM}$	0.865	0.238	3.630
3	$\beta_{cost}$	-0.0166	0.0016	-10.048
4	$eta_{fr}$	-0.0076	0.0013	-5.662
5	$\beta_{time}$	-0.016	0.0019	-8.116
6	$\sigma_{classic}$	2.862	0.526	5.437
7	$\sigma_{rail}$	0.098	0.101	0.974
Summary statistics Number of draws=100 Number of observations=6768 L(0)=-6964.66 $L(\hat{\beta})=-5261.82$ $\bar{\rho}^2=0.244498$				

Table 3: Second Error component specification

# **Random Coefficients**

In this specification the unknown parameters are assumed to be randomly distributed over the population. The utility expressions as well as the related BIOGEME code are shown below. The estimation results are reported in Table 4.

$$V_{car} = ASC_{car} + \beta_{time}CAR\_TT + \beta_{car\_cost}CAR\_CO$$
  

$$V_{train} = \beta_{time}TRAIN\_TT + \beta_{train\_cost}TRAIN\_CO + \beta_{fr}TRAIN\_FR$$
  

$$V_{SM} = ASC_{SM} + \beta_{time}SM\_TT + \beta_{SM\_cost}SM\_CO + \beta_{fr}SM\_FR$$

			1.	
Estimation results				
Variable	Variable	Coefficient	Robust	Robust
number	name	estimate	standard error	$t \ statistic$
1	$ASC_{car}$	-1.470	0.177	-8.295
2	$ASC_{SM}$	-0.915	0.130	-7.066
3	$m_{car\_cost}$	-0.017	0.004	-4.113
4	$\sigma_{car\_cost}$	0.009	0.003	2.681
5	$m_{train\_cost}$	-0.059	0.005	-12.135
6	$\sigma_{train\_cost}$	0.023	0.002	10.939
7	$m_{SM\_cost}$	-0.016	0.002	-7.477
8	$\sigma_{SM\_cost}$	0.008	0.002	3.992
9	$m_{fr}$	-0.006	0.001	-5.116
10	$\sigma_{fr}$	0.001	0.004	0.245
11	$\beta_{time}$	-0.013	0.002	-7.721
Summary statistics				
Number of draws=100				
Number of observations=6768				
L(0) = -6964.66				
$L(\hat{\beta}) = -4979.7$				
$\bar{\rho}^2 = 0.28$				

Table 4: Random coefficient specification

#### **Different distributions**

We hereby report two examples of BIOGEME code that specify a random coefficient model where the parameters are log-normally and Johnson's Sb distributed, accordingly. Recall that, a variable X is log normally distributed if  $y = \ln(X)$  is normally distributed. We can easily define such a distribution in BIOGEME by assuming a generic time coefficient to be log-normally distributed.

```
[GeneralizedUtilities]
11 exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) * CAR_TT
21 exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) * TRAIN_TT
31 exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) * SM_TT
```

In the case of Johnson's SB distribution, the functional form is derived using a Logit-like transformation of a Normal distribution, as defined in the following equation:

$$\xi = a + (b-a)\frac{e^{\zeta}}{e^{\zeta} + 1} \tag{1}$$

where  $\zeta \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . This distribution is very flexible; it is bounded between a and b and its shape can change from a very flat one to a bimodal, by changing the parameters of the normal variable. The estimation of four parameters  $(a, b, \mu \text{ and } \sigma)$  and a nonlinear specification are required, assuming as before, a generic time coefficient following such a distribution.

```
[GeneralizedUtilities]
11 ( A + ( ( B - A ) * ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] )
      / ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) + 1 ) ) ) ) * CAR_TT
21 ( A + ( ( B - A ) * ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] )
      / ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) + 1 ) ) ) ) * TRAIN_TT
31 ( A + ( ( B - A ) * ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] )
      / ( exp( B_TIME [ B_TIME_std ] ) + 1 ) ) ) ) * SM_TT
```

### Mixed GEV Models

In this example we capture the substitution patterns by means of a Nested Logit model, and we allow for some parameters to be randomly distributed over the population.

$$\begin{split} V_{car} &= ASC_{car} + \beta_{car\_time}CAR\_TT + \beta_{cost}CAR\_CO \\ V_{train} &= \beta_{train\_time}TRAIN\_TT + \beta_{cost}TRAIN\_CO + \beta_{fr}TRAIN\_FR \\ &+ \beta_{ga}GA + \beta_{age}AGE \\ V_{SM} &= ASC_{SM} + \beta_{SM\_time}SM\_TT + \beta_{cost}SM\_CO + \beta_{fr}SM\_FR \\ &+ \beta_{ga}GA + \beta_{seats}SEATS \end{split}$$

We specify a nest composed of the alternatives *car* and *train* representing standard transportation modes, while the Swissmetro alternative represents the technological innovation. We further

Estimation results					
Variable	Variable	Coefficient	Robust	Robust	Robust
number	name	estimate	standard error	$t \ stat. \ 0$	t stat. 1
1	$ASC_{car}$	0.246	0.134	1.835	
2	$ASC_{SM}$	0.599	0.134	4.459	
3	$eta_{age}$	0.203	0.032	6.401	
4	$m_{car\_time}$	-0.012	0.001	-12.107	
5	$\beta_{cost}$	-0.009	0.0008	-11.179	
6	$\sigma_{car\_time}$	0.004	0.0005	8.560	
7	$eta_{ga}$	0.888	0.135	6.563	
8	$eta_{fr}$	-0.004	0.0008	-5.575	
9	$\beta_{seats}$	-0.288	0.096	-2.986	
10	$m_{SM\_time}$	-0.014	0.001	-10.671	
11	$\sigma_{SM\_time}$	0.009	0.001	6.324	
12	$m_{train\_time}$	-0.015	0.001	-13.623	
13	$\sigma_{train\_time}$	0.0003	0.0005	0.634	
14	$\mu_{classic}$	2.229	0.176	12.63	6.966
Summary statistics					
Number of draws=100					
Number of observations=6768					
L(0) = -6964.66					
$L(\hat{\beta}) = -5052.93$					
$\bar{\rho}^2 = 0.27449$					

Table 5: Mixed Nested Logit estimation results

assume a generic cost parameter and three randomly distributed alternative-specific time parameters. Normal distributions are used for the random coefficients, that is,

$\beta_{car\_time}$	$\sim$	$N(m_{car\_time}, \sigma^2_{car\_time})$
$\beta_{train\_time}$	$\sim$	$N(m_{train\_time}, \sigma^2_{train\_time})$
$\beta_{SM\_time}$	$\sim$	$N(m_{SM\_time}, \sigma^2_{SM\_time}).$

The estimation results are reported in Table 5.

mbi/tr-jn