Comparing different rebalancing operations strategies in car sharing systems: A generic optimization framework

Selin Ataç Nikola Obrenović, Michel Bierlaire

Transport and Mobility Laboratory School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

June 03, 2022



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Evaluating different rebalancing operation strategies
 - Motivation
 - Literature
- 3. Methodology
 - Considered system and the framework
 - Preliminary experiments
 - Rebalancing operations optimization
- 4. Conclusion

Introduction

- 37.5% of the U.S. greenhouse gas emissions is due to transportation (EPA, 2021¹).
 - Passenger cars contribute the most with 40.5%.



¹EPA (2021) Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2019.

²Amatuni, L., Ottelin J., Steubing B. and Mogollón J. M. (2020) Does car sharing reduce greenhouse gas emissions? Assessing the modal shift and lifetime shift rebound effects from a life cycle perspective, Journal of Cleaner Production.

Introduction

- 37.5% of the U.S. greenhouse gas emissions is due to transportation (EPA, 2021¹).
 - Passenger cars contribute the most with 40.5%.
- Car sharing systems
 - Short rentals
 - Higher car and less parking utilization
 - Examples: Mobility, car2go, SHARENOW



¹EPA (2021) Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2019.

²Amatuni, L., Ottelin J., Steubing B. and Mogollón J. M. (2020) Does car sharing reduce greenhouse gas emissions? Assessing the modal shift and lifetime shift rebound effects from a life cycle perspective, Journal of Cleaner Production.

Introduction

- 37.5% of the U.S. greenhouse gas emissions is due to transportation (EPA, 2021¹).
 - Passenger cars contribute the most with 40.5%.
- Car sharing systems
 - Short rentals
 - Higher car and less parking utilization
 - Examples: Mobility, car2go, SHARENOW
- Introducing a car sharing system results in between 3% and 18% reduction in CO2 emissions (Amatuni et al., 2020²).



¹EPA (2021) Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2019.

²Amatuni, L., Ottelin J., Steubing B. and Mogollón J. M. (2020) Does car sharing reduce greenhouse gas emissions? Assessing the modal shift and lifetime shift rebound effects from a life cycle perspective, Journal of Cleaner Production.

- Is shared mobility as sustainable as we think?
 - Reck et al. (2022)³ claim that personalized micro-mobility is more sustainable than the shared one.
 - One reason is costly rebalancing operations.

³Daniel J. Reck, Henry Martin, and Kay W. Axhausen. Mode choice, substitution patterns and environmental impacts of shared and personal micro-mobility. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 102:103134, 2022.

⁴ Jia Shu, Mabel Chou, Qizhang Liu, Chung Teo, and I-Lin Wang. Models for effective deployment and redistribution of bicycles within public bicycle-sharing systems. Operations Research, <u>61</u>:1346=1359, <u>11</u> 2013:

- Is shared mobility as sustainable as we think?
 - Reck et al. (2022)³ claim that personalized micro-mobility is more sustainable than the shared one.
 - One reason is costly rebalancing operations.
- The added-value of bike rebalancing in bike sharing systems?
 - Shu et al. (2013)⁴ find that the number of substituted trips change as a function of number of bicycles and number of redistributions per day.
 - Periodic and frequent rebalancing operations are not necessary for some configurations of the system.

³Daniel J. Reck, Henry Martin, and Kay W. Axhausen. Mode choice, substitution patterns and environmental impacts of shared and personal micro-mobility. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 102:103134, 2022.

⁴ Jia Shu, Mabel Chou, Qizhang Liu, Chung Teo, and I-Lin Wang. Models for effective deployment and redistribution of bicycles within public bicycle-sharing systems. Operations Research, <u>61</u>:1346=1359, <u>11</u>:2013

Motivation

• How about car sharing systems?



SA, NO, MB (TRANSP-OR/EPFL)

Swiss OR Days '22

June 03, 2022 5 / 16

э

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

- How about car sharing systems?
- The added-value of rebalancing operations in car sharing systems
 - The effect of city characteristics
 - The effect of trip demand behavior
 - The effect of different rebalancing operations strategies
 - ...



Previously in the literature..

- Martinez et al. (2017)⁵
 - Agent-based model and supply side, i.e., operations by the staff such as maintenance, rebalancing, and refueling



⁵Martínez, L. M., G. H. de Almeida Correia, F. Moura and M. M. Lopes (2017) Insights into carsharing demand dynamics: Outputs of an agent-based model application to Lisbon, Portugal, International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 11 (2) 148-159.

⁶Vasconcelos, A. S., L. M. Martinez, G. H. Correia, D. C. Guimarães and T. L. Farias (2017) Environmental and financial impacts of adopting alternative vehicle technologies and relocation strategies in station-based one-way carsharing: An application in the city of Lisbon, Portugal, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 57, 350-362.

Previously in the literature..

- Martinez et al. (2017)⁵
 - Agent-based model and supply side, i.e., operations by the staff such as maintenance, rebalancing, and refueling
- Vasconcelos et al. (2017)⁶



- The same agent-based model as in Martinez et al. (2017)
- Comparison between with and without rebalancing
- Evaluating three different policies that investigates the effect of electric vehicle adoption

⁵Martínez, L. M., G. H. de Almeida Correia, F. Moura and M. M. Lopes (2017) Insights into carsharing demand dynamics: Outputs of an agent-based model application to Lisbon, Portugal, International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 11 (2) 148-159.

⁶Vasconcelos, A. S., L. M. Martinez, G. H. Correia, D. C. Guimarães and T. L. Farias (2017) Environmental and financial impacts of adopting alternative vehicle technologies and relocation strategies in station-based one-way carsharing: An application in the city of Lisbon, Portugal, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 57, 350-362.

• Considers a one-way station-based car sharing system.

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Considers a one-way station-based car sharing system.
- Considers both supply and demand side of car sharing.

э

- 4 E b

- Considers a one-way station-based car sharing system.
- Considers both supply and demand side of car sharing.
- Uses a transport simulation toolkit, i.e., MATSim, and its car sharing API.

- Considers a one-way station-based car sharing system.
- Considers both supply and demand side of car sharing.
- Uses a transport simulation toolkit, i.e., MATSim, and its car sharing API.
- Incorporates rebalancing operations optimization.



Figure: The framework

3

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



Figure: The framework

3

(a)



Figure: The framework

э

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



Figure: The framework

э

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



Figure: The framework

- 34

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Sioux Falls, US scenario
 - 84110 agents
 - 24 stations (5 vehicles and 5 free parking spots per station)



- Sioux Falls, US scenario
 - 84110 agents
 - 24 stations (5 vehicles and 5 free parking spots per station)
- The available transport modes are car, public transport, bike, walk, and one-way car sharing.



- Sioux Falls, US scenario
 - 84110 agents
 - 24 stations (5 vehicles and 5 free parking spots per station)
- The available transport modes are car, public transport, bike, walk, and one-way car sharing.
- Only one CSS operator is available.



- Sioux Falls, US scenario
 - 84110 agents
 - 24 stations (5 vehicles and 5 free parking spots per station)
- The available transport modes are car, public transport, bike, walk, and one-way car sharing.
- Only one CSS operator is available.
- Every agent has a membership.



Illustrative case study

- Sioux Falls, US scenario
 - 84110 agents
 - 24 stations (5 vehicles and 5 free parking spots per station)
- The available transport modes are car, public transport, bike, walk, and one-way car sharing.
- Only one CSS operator is available.
- Every agent has a membership.
- Parameters are set to default.



Swiss OR Days '22

Scenarios

- "do nothing" scenario
 - The final configuration of the vehicles for one iteration is fed back to MATSim as an initial configuration for the next iteration.



Scenarios

- "do nothing" scenario
 - The final configuration of the vehicles for one iteration is fed back to MATSim as an initial configuration for the next iteration.
- "rebalance" scenario
 - The minimum required number of vehicles per station is computed and the free parking is determined where each station has 10 total parking spots.



Results



Figure: Score statistics (rebalance)



Figure: Mode statistics (rebalance)

< 4 P < 4

э

3 × 4 3 ×

Comparison of two strategies



Figure: Number of rentals for both strategies

12/16

What happens if we plug in an optimization model?

• Models proposed in Gambella et al. $(2018)^7$ and Zhao et al. $(2018)^8$.

- Gambella et al. (2018): maximize system profit with fixed numbers of vehicles and staff and limited station capacity.
- Zhao et al. (2018): determine the optimal numbers of vehicles and staff to serve all demand while minimizing costs, with unlimited capacity at all stations.
- A third strategy based on these two models.
 - Derived from the first strategy by considering a priority trip list and forcing the model to serve them.

⁷Claudio Gambella, Enrico Malaguti, Filippo Masini, and Daniele Vigo. Optimizing relocation operations in electric car-sharing. Omega, 81:234-245, 2018.

⁸Meng Zhao, Xiaopeng Li, Jiateng Yin, Jianxun Cui, Lixing Yang, and Shi An. An integrated framework for electric vehicle rebalancing and staff relocation in one-way carsharing systems: Model formulatio and lagrangian relaxation-based solution approach. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological (117:542-572, 2018.

What happens if we plug in an optimization model?

• A case study from Turin, Italy.

- 10 stations
- 10-70 number of vehicles
- 0-3 staff
- 418 trips/day
- 96 timesteps (15 mins intervals)
- Each instance is solved by CPLEX 22.10 on a server with Xeon(R) Gold 6140 CPU clocked at 2.30GHz and 36 processors with a time limit of 72 hours.
- ... but
 - The scale of the problem is not realistic and we can only solve it in three days!

Conclusions and future work

- A generic framework to evaluate different rebalancing operations strategies is presented.
- Preliminary experiments on Sioux Falls scenario using MATSim carsharing API show promising results.

Conclusions and future work

- A generic framework to evaluate different rebalancing operations strategies is presented.
- Preliminary experiments on Sioux Falls scenario using MATSim carsharing API show promising results.
- The next steps include
 - selecting a choice model and rebalancing operations strategies from the literature,
 - analyzing the effect of rebalancing operations that consider different strategies, and
 - applying to a bigger case study, such as Zurich, Switzerland.



Appendix

Questions and discussion



Selin Ataç

Transport and Mobility Laboratory (TRANSP-OR) École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)

- ∢ ศ⊒ ▶

selin.atac@epfl.ch

3

SA, NO, MB (TRANSP-OR/EPFL)

Swiss OR Days '22

June 03, 2022 16 / 16

-