CIVIL-557 Decision Aid Methodologies In Transportation

Lecture 12: Data Mining in Transport – Artificial Neural Networks

Nikola Obrenovic

Transport and Mobility Laboratory TRANSP-OR École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL





Acknowledgement

- The content of these slides has been partially taken over from the official slides accompanying the book: P.-N.Tan, M. Steinbach, A. Karpatne, V. Kumar: Introduction to Data Mining (2nd Edition)
- https://www-users.cs.umn.edu/~kumar001/dmbook/index.php









Output Y is 1 if at least two of the three inputs are equal to 1.







$$Y = sign(0.3X_1 + 0.3X_2 + 0.3X_3 - 0.4)$$

where $sign(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$





- Model is an assembly of inter-connected nodes and weighted links
- Output node sums up each of its input value according to the weights of its links
- Compare output node against some threshold t





General Structure of ANN







Various types of neural network topology

- single-layered network (perceptron) versus multi-layered network
- Feed-forward versus recurrent network
- Various types of activation functions (f)

$$Y = f(\sum_{i} w_i X_i)$$



Perceptron

Single layer network

Contains only input and output nodes

Applying model is straightforward

$$Y = sign(0.3X_1 + 0.3X_2 + 0.3X_3 - 0.4)$$

where $sign(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$

 $- X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1 => y = sign(0.2) = 1$





Perceptron Learning Rule

- Initialize the weights $(w_0, w_1, ..., w_d)$
- Repeat
 - For each training example (x_i, y_i)
 - Compute f(w, x_i)
 - Update the weights:

$$w^{(k+1)} = w^{(k)} + \lambda [y_i - f(w^{(k)}, x_i)] x_i$$

Until stopping condition is met





Perceptron Learning Rule

Weight update formula:

$$w^{(k+1)} = w^{(k)} + \lambda \left[y_i - f(w^{(k)}, x_i) \right] x_i \quad ; \quad \lambda : \text{learning rate}$$

Intuition:

- Update weight based on error: $e = [y_i f(w^{(k)}, x_i)]$
- If y=f(x,w), e=0: no update needed
- If y>f(x,w), e>0: weight must be increased so that f(x,w) will increase
- If y<f(x,w), e<0: weight must be decreased so that f(x,w) will decrease





Example of Perceptron Learning

$$w^{(k+1)} = w^{(k)} + \lambda [y_i - f(w^{(k)}, x_i)] x_i$$

$$Y = sign(\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i X_i)$$

 $\lambda = 0.1$

X ₁	X ₂	X_3	Y
1	0	0	-1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1
0	0	1	-1
0	1	0	-1
0	1	1	1
0	0	0	-1

	W ₀	W ₁	W2	W ₃
0	0	0	0	0
1	-0.2	-0.2	0	0
2	0	0	0	0.2
3	0	0	0	0.2
4	0	0	0	0.2
5	-0.2	0	0	0
6	-0.2	0	0	0
7	0	0	0.2	0.2
8	-0.2	0	0.2	0.2

Epoch	W ₀	W ₁	W ₂	W ₃
0	0	0	0	0
1	-0.2	0	0.2	0.2
2	-0.2	0	0.4	0.2
3	-0.4	0	0.4	0.2
4	-0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4
5	-0.6	0.2	0.4	0.2
6	-0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2





Perceptron Learning Rule

 Since f(w,x) is a linear combination of input variables, decision boundary is linear



 For nonlinearly separable problems, perceptron learning algorithm will fail because no linear hyperplane can separate the data perfectly





Nonlinearly Separable Data



ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE Fédérale de Lausanne

STRANSP-OR

Multilayer Neural Network

Hidden layers

intermediary layers between input & output layers

More general activation functions (sigmoid, linear, etc)





Multi-layer Neural Network

 Multi-layer neural network can solve any type of classification task involving nonlinear decision surfaces









Learning Multi-layer Neural Network

- Can we apply perceptron learning rule to each node, including hidden nodes?
 - Perceptron learning rule computes error term
 e = y-f(w,x) and updates weights accordingly
 - Problem: how to determine the true value of y for hidden nodes?
 - Approximate error in hidden nodes by error in the output nodes
 - Problem:
 - Not clear how adjustment in the hidden nodes affect overall error
 - No guarantee of convergence to optimal solution





Gradient Descent for Perceptron

• Weight update:
$$w_j^{(k+1)} = w_j^{(k)} - \lambda \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_j}$$

• Error function: $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(t_i - f(\sum_j w_j x_{ij}) \right)^2$

- Activation function f must be differentiable
- For sigmoid function:

$$w_{j}^{(k+1)} = w_{j}^{(k)} + \lambda \sum_{i} (t_{i} - o_{i}) o_{i} (1 - o_{i}) x_{ij}$$

Stochastic gradient descent (update the weight immediately)



Gradient Descent for MultiLayer NN

 For output neurons, weight update formula is the same as before (gradient descent for perceptron)



• For hidden neurons:

$$w_{pi}^{(k+1)} = w_{pi}^{(k)} + \lambda o_i (1 - o_i) \sum_{j \in \Phi_i} \delta_j w_{ij} x_{pi}$$

Output neurons : $\delta_j = o_j (1 - o_j) (t_j - o_j)$
Hidden neurons : $\delta_j = o_j (1 - o_j) \sum_{k \in \Phi_j} \delta_k w_{jk}$



Design Issues in ANN

- Number of nodes in input layer
 - One input node per binary/continuous attribute
 - k or log₂ k nodes for each categorical attribute with k values
- Number of nodes in output layer
 - One output for binary class problem
 - k or log₂ k nodes for k-class problem
- Number of nodes in hidden layer
- Initial weights and biases





Characteristics of ANN

- Multilayer ANN are universal approximators but could suffer from overfitting if the network is too large
- Gradient descent may converge to local minimum
- Model building can be very time consuming, but testing can be very fast
- Can handle redundant attributes because weights are automatically learnt
- Sensitive to noise in training data
- Difficult to handle missing attributes





Recent Noteworthy Developments in ANN

- Google Brain project
 - Learned the concept of a 'cat' by looking at unlabeled pictures from YouTube
 - One billion connection network





Case study

- M. S. Dougherty, M. R. Cobbett: Short-term inter-urban traffic forecasts using neural networks, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(96)00697-8
 - Short-term forecast of traffic flow , speed and occupancy
 - Also used as input data
 - Challenges:
 - Selection of input features
 - Neural network size impractical for real-time use
 - Input features selection elasticity analysis
- What improved algorithm results to a great extent?
- How is the curse of dimensionality defined in this paper?



Confusion Matrix

Confusion Matrix:

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	а	b	
CLASS	Class=No	С	d	

- a: TP (true positive)
- b: FN (false negative)
- c: FP (false positive)
- d: TN (true negative)





Accuracy

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	a (TP)	b (FN)	
ULASS	Class=No	с (FP)	d (TN)	

Most widely-used metric:

Accuracy =
$$\frac{a+d}{a+b+c+d} = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}$$





Class Imbalance Problem

- Lots of classification problems where the classes are skewed (more records from one class than another)
 - Credit card fraud
 - Intrusion detection
 - Defective products in manufacturing assembly line





Challenges

- Evaluation measures such as accuracy is not well-suited for imbalanced class
- Detecting the rare class is like finding needle in a haystack





Problem with Accuracy

Consider a 2-class problem

- Number of Class NO examples = 990
- Number of Class YES examples = 10
- If a model predicts everything to be class NO, accuracy is 990/1000 = 99 %
 - This is misleading because the model does not detect any class YES example
 - Detecting the rare class is usually more interesting (e.g., frauds, intrusions, defects, etc)





	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAI	Class=Yes	а	b	
CLASS	Class=No	С	d	

Precision (p) =
$$\frac{a}{a+c}$$

Recall (r) =
$$\frac{a}{a+b}$$

 $a = 2rp = 2$

F - measure (F) =
$$\frac{2rp}{r+p} = \frac{2a}{2a+b+c}$$





	PREDICTED CLASS		
		Class=Yes	Class=No
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	10	0
CLASS	Class=No	10	980

Precision (p) =
$$\frac{10}{10+10} = 0.5$$

Recall (r) = $\frac{10}{10+0} = 1$
F - measure (F) = $\frac{2*1*0.5}{1+0.5} = 0.62$
Accuracy = $\frac{990}{1000} = 0.99$





	PREDICTED CLASS		
		Class=Yes	Class=No
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	10	0
CLASS	Class=No	10	980

Precision (p) =
$$\frac{10}{10+10} = 0.5$$

Recall (r) = $\frac{10}{10+0} = 1$
F - measure (F) = $\frac{2*1*0.5}{1+0.5} = 0.62$
Accuracy = $\frac{990}{1000} = 0.99$

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	1	9	
CLASS	Class=No	0	990	

SP-OR

Precision (p) =
$$\frac{1}{1+0} = 1$$

Recall (r) = $\frac{1}{1+9} = 0.1$
F - measure (F) = $\frac{2*0.1*1}{1+0.1} = 0.18$
Accuracy = $\frac{991}{1000} = 0.991$

FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	40	10	
CLASS	Class=No	10	40	

Precision (p) = 0.8Recall (r) = 0.8F - measure (F) = 0.8Accuracy = 0.8





	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	40	10	
CLASS	Class=No	10	40	

Precision (p) = 0.8Recall (r) = 0.8F - measure (F) = 0.8Accuracy = 0.8

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL	Class=Yes	40	10	
CLASS	Class=No	1000	4000	

Precision (p) =~ 0.04 Recall (r) = 0.8 F - measure (F) =~ 0.08 Accuracy =~ 0.8





Measures of Classification Performance

	PREDICTED CLASS					
ACTUAL CLASS		Yes	No			
	Yes	TP	FN			
	No	FP	TN			

 α is the probability that we reject the null hypothesis when it is true. This is a Type I error or a false positive (FP).

 β is the probability that we accept the null hypothesis when it is false. This is a Type II error or a false negative (FN).

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FN + FP + TN}$$

ErrorRate = 1 - accuracy

$$Precision = Positive \ Predictive \ Value = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$Recall = Sensitivity = TP Rate = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$Specificity = TN Rate = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

$$FP \ Rate = \alpha = \frac{FP}{TN + FP} = 1 - specificity$$

$$FN Rate = \beta = \frac{FN}{FN + TP} = 1 - sensitivity$$

Power = *sensitivity* = $1 - \beta$





	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL CLASS	Class=Yes	40	10	
	Class=No	10	40	

Precision (p) = 0.8TPR = Recall (r) = 0.8FPR = 0.2F - measure (F) = 0.8Accuracy = 0.8

	PREDICTED CLASS			
		Class=Yes	Class=No	
ACTUAL CLASS	Class=Yes	40	10	
	Class=No	1000	4000	

Precision (p) =~ 0.04 TPR = Recall (r) = 0.8 FPR = 0.2 F - measure (F) =~ 0.08 Accuracy =~ 0.8





Main references

• P.-N. Tan, M. Steinbach, A. Karpatne, V. Kumar: Introduction to Data Mining, 2nd Edition, 2006, Pearson Education Inc.



