Decision Aid Methodologies In Transportation Lecture 2: Modeling

# **Mathematical Modeling**

# **Linear Programming**

Shadi SHARIF AZADEH Transport and Mobility Laboratory TRANSP-OR École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL





# MyTosa

# Catenary-free 100% electric urban public mass-transportation system

myTOSA is a simulation tool for the dimensioning, commercial promotion and case study set-up for ABB's revolutionary "catenary-free" 100% electric urban public masstransportation system TOSA 2013. The objective of the project is to provide a simulation tool that will allow ABB to perform the proper dimensioning, promote the commercial idea and allow for specific study cases for the implementation of ABB's new public electric transportation concept, namely TOSA.







# Modulushca

#### Modular logistics units in shared co-modal networks

The objective is to achieve the first genuine contribution to the development of intercontinental logistics at the European level, in close coordination with North America partners and the international Physical Internet Initiative. The goal of the project is to enable operations with developed iso-modular logistics units of size adequate for real modal and co-modal flows of fast-moving consumer goods, providing a basis for an interconnected logistics system for 2030.







# **Problem definition**

#### Special form of mathematical programming

Equations must be linear : Using arithmetic operation such as **addition** subtraction

- Y = a(X) + b
- The following terms are not linear!!

• 
$$Y = X^a + b$$
;  $XY = b$ ;  $\frac{X}{Y} - b = Z$ ;  $Y = a|X| + b$ 

#### Simple solution procedures

• Linear algebra, Simplex Method

#### Very **powerful**

Extremely large problems 100,000 variables 1000's of constraints

#### Useful design information by Sensitivity Analysis

Answers to "what if" questions





A glass company has three plants: aluminum frame and hardware, wood frame, glass and assembly. Two product with highest profit:

- Product 1: An 8-foot glass door with <u>aluminum</u> frame → plants 1 and 3
- Product 2: A 4 × 6 foot double hung wood <u>frame</u> window → plants 2 and 3

The <u>benefit</u> of selling a batch (including 20) of products 1 and 2 are \$3000 and \$5000 respectively.

Each batch of <u>product 1</u> produced per week uses <u>1 hour of production time per</u> week in <u>plant 1</u>, whereas only <u>4</u> hours per week plant 1 is available.

Each batch of <u>product 2</u> produced per week uses <u>2</u> hours of production time per week in <u>plant 2</u>, whereas only <u>12</u> hours per week plant 2 is available.

Each batch of <u>products 1 and 2</u> produced per week uses <u>3 and 2</u> hours of production time per week in <u>plant 3</u> respectively, whereas only <u>18</u> hours per week are available.





Formulation as a Linear Programming Problem

To formulate the mathematical (linear programming) model for this problem, let

- $x_1$  = number of batches of product 1 produced per week
- $x_2$  = number of batches of product 2 produced per week
- Z = total profit per week (in thousands of dollars) from producing these two products

Thus,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the decision variables for the model and the objective function is as follows

• 
$$Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$$

The objective is to choose the values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  so as to maximize Z subject to the restrictions imposed on their values by the limited production capacities available in the three plants.





|                  | Product<br>per Bate | ion Time<br>h, Hours |                           |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
|                  | Pro                 | duct                 | Draduction Time           |
| Plant            | 1                   | 2                    | Available per Week, Hours |
| 1                | 1                   | 0                    | 4                         |
| 2                | 0                   | 2                    | 12                        |
| 3                | 3                   | 2                    | 18                        |
| Profit per batch | \$3,000             | \$5,000              |                           |





To summarize, in the mathematical language of linear programming, the problem to choose values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  so as to

Maximize 
$$Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$$

subject to the restrictions

 $x_1 \le 4$  $2x_2 \le 12$  $3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 18$  $x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0$ 









Terminology for Solutions of the Model

- Feasible solution: a solution for which all the <u>constraints are satisfied</u>.
- Infeasible solution: a solution for which <u>at least one constraint is violated</u>.
- Feasible region: the <u>collection</u> of all <u>feasible solutions</u>.
- No feasible solutions: It is possible for a problem to have no feasible solutions.











**Optimal solution**: a feasible solution that has the best objective value





#### **General Solution Approach (Graphical Method)**

Step 1: Find a corner point

An "initial feasible solution"

Step 2: Proceed to improved corner points

Step 3: Stop when no further improvements are possible

Step 4: For large problems, a variety of more sophisticated approaches are used!

#### **Solution Calculations**

#### Find a corner point

It is necessary to <u>solve system of constraint equations</u> from linear algebra, this requires working with <u>matrix of constraint equations</u>, specifically, manipulating the "determinants"

Amount of <u>effort</u> set by number of <u>constraints</u>. So number of constraints defines amount of effort. This is why <u>LP</u> can <u>handle</u> many <u>more decision variables</u> than <u>constraints</u>





SP-OR

#### Select improved corners





Standard Form of LP - Three Parts

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{Objective\ function}\\ maximize\ or\ minimize\\ Y = \ \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i\ X_i\\ Y = \ C_1 X_1 \ _+ \ C_2 X_2 \ + \ \ldots \ + \ C_n X_n\\ X_i \ known \ as \ decision \ variables \end{array}$ 

<u>Constraints</u>

subject to

$$a_{11}X_{1} + a_{12}X_{2} + \dots + a_{1nXn} = b_{1}$$
  

$$a_{21}X_{1} + a_{22}X_{2} + \dots + a_{2nXn} = b_{2}$$
  
...  

$$a_{m1}X_{1} + am_{2}X_{2} + \dots + a_{mn}X_{n} = b_{m}$$

 $\frac{\text{Non-Negativity}}{x_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i}$ 



Data needed for a linear programming model involving the allocation of resources to activities

|   | Resour                 | ce Usage p             |                     |                       |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
|   |                        | Acti                   | Amount of           |                       |  |
| Resource                                  | 1                      | 2                      | <br>n               | Resource Available    |  |
| 1   | a <sub>11</sub>        | a <sub>12</sub>        | <br>a <sub>1n</sub> | <i>b</i> <sub>1</sub> |  |
| 2   | a <sub>21</sub>        | a <sub>22</sub>        | <br>a <sub>2n</sub> | b <sub>2</sub>        |  |
|   |                        |                        |                     |                       |  |
|   |                        |                        | <br>                |                       |  |
|   |                        |                        |                     |                       |  |
| т   | <i>a</i> <sub>m1</sub> | <i>a</i> <sub>m2</sub> | <br>a <sub>mn</sub> | b <sub>m</sub>        |  |
| Contribution to Z per<br>unit of activity | с <sub>1</sub>         | C <sub>2</sub>         | <br>Cn              |                       |  |





The Wyndor Glass Co. problem would have no feasible solutions if the constraint  $3x_1 + 5x_2 \ge 50$ were added to the problem.







**Multiple optimal solutions**: Most problems will have just <u>one optimal solution</u>. However, it is <u>possible to have more than one</u>. This would occur in the example if the <u>profit per batch produced of product 2</u> were changed from \$5000 to \$2000. This changes the objective function to  $Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$  so that all the points on the line <u>segment connecting (2, 6) and (4, 3)</u> would be optimal. As in this case, any problem having <u>multiple optimal solutions</u> will have an infinite number of them, each with the <u>same optimal value of the objective function</u>.

**No optimal solutions**: Another possibility is that a problem has no optimal solutions. This occurs only if (1) it has <u>no feasible solutions</u> or (2) the <u>constraints do</u> <u>not prevent improving</u> the value of the <u>objective function</u> (Z) indefinitely in the <u>favorable direction</u> (positive or negative).









The latter case is referred to as having an **unbounded Z**. To illustrate, this case would result if the last two functional constraints were mistakenly deleted in the example.





A corner-point feasible (CPF) solution is a <u>solution</u> that lies at a <u>corner</u> of the feasible region.

**Relationship between optimal solutions and CPF solutions**: Consider any <u>linear</u> programming problem with <u>feasible solutions</u> and a <u>bounded</u> feasible region. The <u>problem must possess CPF solutions</u> and at least <u>one optimal solution</u>. Furthermore, the <u>best CPF solution must be an optimal solution</u>. Thus, if a problem has exactly <u>one optimal solution</u>, it <u>must be a CPF solution</u>. If the problem has <u>multiple optimal solutions</u>, at <u>least two must be CPF solutions</u>.



| Origina   |  |                          | Standard Formulation |                |               |   |   |              |                        |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---|---|--------------|------------------------|--|
| max Z =   | $100x_1 + 20$  | 00 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> |                      |                | max           | Z = 1                                       | $00x_1 + 2$                                 | 200 <i>x</i> | 2                      |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4x_1 + 3x_2 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_1, \ x_2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrr} \leq & 240 \\ \leq & 100 \\ \leq & 60 \\ \geq & 0. \end{array}$ |                          |                      |                | $\frac{4}{2}$ | $x_1 + 3$<br>$2x_1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2$ , $e_1$ , | $x_2 + e_1  x_2 + e_2  x_2 + e_3  e_2, e_3$ | ≥            | 240<br>100<br>60<br>0. |  |
|   | <i>C<sub>j</sub></i> —   | 10                       | 0 200                | 0              | 0             | 0   | Value                                       | _            |                        |  |
| $C_B$   | Basics   | X                        | $1 X_2$              | e <sub>1</sub> | $e_2$         | $e_3$                                       | 21  |              |                        |  |
| 0   | e1   | 4                        | 3                    | 1              | 0             | 0   | 240   |              |                        |  |
| 0   | e  | 2                        | 1                    | 0              | 1             | 0   | 100   |              |                        |  |
| 0   | <b>e</b> <sub>3</sub>  | 0                        | 1                    | 0              | 0             | 1   | 60  |              |                        |  |
|   |  |                          |                      | 0              | 0             | 0   |   |              |                        |  |
|   | $c_j - z_j$  | 10                       | 0 200                | 0              | 0             | 0   | 0   | _            |                        |  |

Coefficient of base variables in the objective function



Base variables

Reduced cost – Marginal profit



NSP-OR





OR

|             |                       |     | 100        | 200                   | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |   |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----|------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|---|
| Cj          |                       |     | <b>X</b> 1 | <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub> | $e_1$ | $e_2$ | $e_3$ |     |   |
| 0           | <b>e</b> <sub>1</sub> |     | 4          | 3                     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 240 | Γ |
| 0           | $e_2$                 |     | 2          | 1                     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 100 |   |
| 0           | $e_3$                 |     | 0          | 1                     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 60  |   |
| Zj          |                       | 0   | 0          | 0                     | 0     | 0     |       |     |   |
| $c_j - z_j$ |                       | 100 | 200        | 0                     | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |   |

 $Z = 100X_1 + 200X_2$ 

If we increase  $X_1$  1 unit  $\rightarrow$  the objective increase 100 units If we increase  $X_2$  1 unit  $\rightarrow$  the objective increase 200 units

In Maximization problem, the solution in simplex table is optimal if for all variables  $c_j - zj \le 0$ 



|    |                                       | 100                   | 200                   | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Cj |                                       | <b>x</b> <sub>1</sub> | <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub> | $e_1$ | $e_2$ | $e_3$ | -   |
| 0  | e <sub>1</sub>                        | 4                     | 3                     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 240 |
| 0  | $e_2$                                 | 2                     | 1                     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 100 |
| 0  | $e_3$                                 | 0                     | 1                     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 60  |
|    | Zj                                    | 0                     | 0                     | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |
| C  | $\boldsymbol{z}_j - \boldsymbol{z}_j$ | 100                   | 200                   | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0   |

In Maximization problem, the solution in simplex table is optimal if for all variables  $c_j - zj \le 0$ 













How much we can increase the value of  $X_2$ ?

- We can increase the value till the value of other variables is nonnegative









If  $x_j$  is entering variable, it is sufficient to divide right hand side value with  $a_{ij}$  for all the constraints (non-zero value) we choose the smallest ratio





|    |                      | 100                   | 200                   | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |  |
|----|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--|
| Cj |                      | <b>x</b> <sub>1</sub> | <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub> | $e_1$ | $e_2$ | $e_3$ | -   |  |
| 0  | e <sub>1</sub>       | 4                     | 3                     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 240 |  |
| 0  | $e_2$                | 2                     | 1                     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 100 |  |
| 0  | $e_3$                | 0                     | 1                     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 60  |  |
|    | Zj                   | 0                     | 0                     | 0     | 0     | 0     |     |  |
| C  | $c_j - \mathbf{z}_j$ | 100                   | 200                   | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0   |  |
|    |                      |                       |                       |       |       |       |     |  |











**Optimal solution?** 





# **Variation of Simplex Algorithm**

<u>Big-M Method</u> <u>Equivalent to two phase simplex</u> General idea: penalizing in the objective function

 $\max Z = 100x_1 + 200x_2 - Ma_4$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 4x_1 + 3x_2 + e_1 &=& 240\\ 2x_1 + x_2 + e_2 &=& 100\\ x_2 + e_3 &=& 60\\ x_1 - e_4 + a_4 &=& 10\\ x_1, \ x_2, \ e_1, \ e_2, \ e_3, \ e_4 &\geq& 0\\ a_4 \geq 0 \end{array}$$





For all algorithm and notations G=(V,A) represents the graph in which V is the set of nodes and A is the set of arcs.

Number of nodes = n in our example graph we have 6 nodes

Number of arcs = m in our example graph we have 9 arcs

We consider  $V^{+(i)}$  as the set of imediate successor of node *i* and  $V^{-(i)}$  as the set of immediate predecessor nodes.

In our example graph  $V^{+(3)} = \{5,4\}$  and  $V^{-(3)} = \{1,2\}$ 







A **chain** of a graph G is an alternating sequence of vertices  $x_0$ ,  $x_1$ ,...,  $x_n$  beginning and ending with vertices in which each edge is incident with the two vertices immediately preceding and following it. if the first and the last node is the same we have the cycle.

For directed graph chain  $\rightarrow$  path and cycle  $\rightarrow$  directed cycle

Path={1,3,4,6} Directed cycle={4,6,5}



![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Modeling by Graphs-Min Cost Flow (shortest path)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Objective:**

Maximize the green period of each light

Subject to known time of cycle

Minimum green duration for each direction

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

We associate a node for each route

Nodes are connected by an arc if they can perform simultaneously

Cover nodes with maximum clique (there is at least one subgraph of at least size m whose vertices are completely connected to each other)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $K_1 = \{g,h,i\}, K_2 = \{g,b,f\}$   $K_3 = \{a,b\}, K_4 = \{b,j\}$   $K_5 = \{c,j\}, K_6 = \{d,j\}$  $K_7 = \{d,e\}, K_8 = \{e,i\}$ 

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

- $t = \{a, b, \dots, j\}$
- $x_{K_t}$ : time period during which clique  $K_t$  is green
- S: minimum time of each direction staying green
- C: Total time of the cycle of repetition

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

K<sub>8</sub>

K5

 $K_7$ 

K6

 $K_1$ 

KΔ

 $K_2$ 

K3

# **Software and Solvers**

AMPL: A Modeling Language for Mathematical Programming

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Free student version:

http://www.ampl.com/DOWNLOADS/index.html

#### Documentation

http://www.ampl.com/BOOK/download.html

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Software and Solvers**

#### CPLEX:

Very powerful solver can handle upto 1M variables Primal, dula, interior point , ... Linear programming, integer programming, quadratic programming Cost: 9600\$ Highest market share

#### X-Press

Primal is the same as CPLEX The other solvers are not comparable with CPLEX Cost: 9600\$

#### GUROBI

New solver Less developed than CPLEX Cost : 9600\$

#### NEOS (Network-Enabled Optimization System) Server

free Internet-based service for solving optimization problems You can use all the solvers free The program must be written with AMPL or GAMS

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

### References

Richard de Neufville, Joel Clark and Frank R. Field, Intro. to Linear Programming, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
Hiller, Liberman, Introduction to Operations Research, 7<sup>th</sup> edition, McGraw-Hill Companies, 2001
Laurence A. Wolsey, Integer Programming, Wiley-Interscience, 1998
Der-San Chen et al. Applied integer proragmming: Modeling and solution 2009

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)