Choice with multiple alternatives Specification of the deterministic part

Michel Bierlaire

Introduction to choice models



Quantitative explanatory variables

Quantitative attributes

Numerical and continuous

- ▶ $(z_{in})_k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i, n, k$
- Associated with a specific unit
- ► Vary across both *i* and *n*.

Examples

- Auto in-vehicle time (in min.)
- Transit in-vehicle time (in min.)
- Auto out-of-pocket cost (in cents)
- Transit fare (in cents)
- Walking time to the bus stop (in min.)

Straightforward modeling

Quantitative attributes

- ► V_{in} is unitless
- \blacktriangleright Therefore, β depends on the unit of the associated attribute
- Example: consider two specifications

$$V_{in} = \beta_1 TT_{in} + \cdots$$
$$V_{in} = \beta'_1 TT'_{in} + \cdots$$

- ▶ If TT_{in} is a number of minutes, the unit of β_1 is $1/\min$
- If TT'_{in} is a number of hours, the unit of β'_1 is 1/hour
- Both models are equivalent, but the estimated value of the coefficient will be different

$$\beta_1 \mathsf{TT}_{in} = \beta'_1 \mathsf{TT}'_{in} \Longrightarrow \frac{\mathsf{TT}_{in}}{\mathsf{TT}'_{in}} = \frac{\beta'_1}{\beta_1} = 60$$

Quantitative attributes

Generic vs alternative specific

$$V_{in} = \beta_1 \mathsf{TT}_{in} + \cdots$$
$$V_{jn} = \beta_1 \mathsf{TT}_{jn} + \cdots$$

or

$$V_{in} = \beta_1 TT_{in} + \cdots$$
$$V_{jn} = \beta_2 TT_{jn} + \cdots$$

Modeling assumption: a minute has/has not the same marginal utility whether it is incurred on the auto or bus mode

Quantitative socio-eco. characteristics

Numerical and continuous

- ▶ $(S_n)_k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n, k$
- Associated with a specific unit
- ▶ Vary only across *n*, not across *i*.

Examples

- Annual income (in KCHF)
- ► Age (in years)

Behavioral assumption

- Individuals have different taste parameters.
- ► The difference is explained by one socio-economic characteristic.

$$V_{in} = \beta_{1n} z_{in} + \cdots$$

$$\beta_{1n} = \beta_{1n} (\text{income}_n).$$

Interaction Typical definition of β_{1n} :

 $\beta_{1n} = \beta_1 \text{income}_n$

$$V_{in} = \beta_{1n} z_{in} + \cdots = \beta_1$$
 income_n $z_{in} + \cdots = \beta_1 x_{in} + \cdots$

$$x_{in} = \text{income}_n z_{in}$$

Behavioral assumption

- Individuals have different taste parameters.
- ► The difference is explained by several socio-economic characteristics.

$$V_{in} = \beta_{1n} z_{in} + \cdots$$

$$\beta_{1n} = \beta_{1n} (\text{income}_n, \text{age}_n).$$

Interaction Typical definition of β_{1n} :

$$\beta_{1n} = \beta_1 \text{income}_n \text{age}_n$$
$$V_{in} = \beta_{1n} z_{in} + \dots = \beta_1 \text{income}_n \text{age}_n z_{in} + \dots = \beta_1 x_{in} + \dots$$

$$x_{in} = income_n age_n z_{in}$$

Creativity and relevance

- Several functional forms can be investigated.
- For instance, if z_{in} is the cost variables, we write

 $\beta_{cn} = \beta_c / \text{income}_n$

Indeed, in this case, the new variable can be interpreted as the share of the income dedicated to this purchase:

$$x_{in} = z_{in} / \text{income}_n$$

Modeling heterogeneity: alternative specific constants ASCs can also vary across individuals

Base model

$$V_{1n} = \beta_x x_{1n1} + \beta_1 + \cdots$$

$$V_{2n} = \beta_x x_{2n1} + \beta_2 + \cdots$$

$$V_{3n} = \beta_x x_{3n1} + \cdots$$

Heterogeneous specification

$$V_{1n} = \beta_x x_{1n1} + \beta_{1n} + \cdots$$

$$V_{2n} = \beta_x x_{2n1} + \beta_{2n} + \cdots$$

$$V_{3n} = \beta_x x_{3n1} + \cdots$$

$$\beta_{in} = \beta_i \text{income}_n$$

Modeling heterogeneity: alternative specific constants

Heterogeneous specification

$$V_{1n} = \beta_x x_{1n1} + \beta_{1n} + \cdots$$

$$V_{2n} = \beta_x x_{2n1} + \beta_{2n} + \cdots$$

$$V_{3n} = \beta_x x_{3n1} + \cdots$$

$$\beta_{in} = \beta_i \text{income}_n$$

$$V_{1n} = \beta_x x_{1n1} + \beta_1 \text{income}_n + \cdots$$

$$V_{2n} = \beta_x x_{2n1} + \beta_2 \text{income}_n + \cdots$$

$$V_{3n} = \beta_x x_{3n1} + \cdots$$